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ABSTRACT:

Traditionally polygonal tower tombs dating from the Greco-Roman era, especially found in the area of Syro-Mesopotamia, have only been treated as funerary structures without discussion of their other possible purposes. In this paper we wish to inquire whether they had other functions as well. The most famous examples of these types of tombs are situated in Palmyra in Syria. They are built of limestone, follow a square layout, and some exceed the height of 20 m. Similar structures are found in the Euphrates valley of Syria. The Finnish project SYGIS that worked in the neighbourhood of the Euphrates and Palmyra during the previous decade studied some of the structures in the region. As far as the tower tombs are concerned, our research suggests that new structural, topographical and spatial aspects can be raised, and GIS (Geographic Information Systems) can be applied for analysing their properties for visibility. The tendency to locate tower tombs along roads and the entrance areas of a city as well as at a mountain edge seems to indicate that the tombs may have had observational functions serving as watch towers. The aspects of the location in terrains are emphasized in the present study, and digital terrain models were utilized using SRTM DEM (Digital Elevation Model) data for carrying out viewshed analyses in order to survey the observational qualities of the towers in Palmyra, on Halabiya, on Jebel Bishri in Syria and Hatra in Iraq.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Polygonal Tower Tombs: Structures and their Distribution

Tower tombs represent a particular type of funerary structures that are especially known to have been preferred in Palmyra in Syria during the Greco-Roman period, from the 1\(^{st}\) century BC to the 2\(^{nd}\) century AD (see, e.g., Henning, 2013). That was the heyday of this caravan city on the Silk Road situated in an oasis in the midst of a desert (Gawlikowski, 1996, Stoneman, 1992).

The stone built tower tombs that are visible today in Palmyra, especially in the Valley of Tombs, have a square layout and follow the Hellenistic architectural idealism of polygonal towers but applying Iranian, especially Parthian, taste into their architecture. In this paper we only deal with the tower tombs that are rectangular in plan. Most of them are in a ruined state. But there are well-preserved examples, too. Those structures in Palmyra include several stories, and winding staircases are running between the stories. The original height may even exceed 20 meters, as there are intact examples that have been measured. The deceased were buried in loculi in successive stories and/or subterranean hypogea. (Brall et al., 2001).

The ruins of similar square towers are known on the Euphrates on the peninsula of Halabiya associated with the fortress city of Zenobia, possibly at the fort of Tabus on Jebel Bishri, at the fortress city of Dura Europos and at Baghouz in Syro-Mesopotamia (see Will, 1949b). The dates of the sites vary from the Hellenistic to Roman and Byzantine periods. A comparable tower tomb is also known from Edessa-Urfa (Drijvers, 1980) in Turkey, and such have been inserted into the walls of Hatra in Iraq as well (Metzger, 1998). Both of the sites are situated also in Mesopotamia. Of all the examples of the tombs, the best preserved ones are in Palmyra, and altogether ca. 180 towers and their ruined remains are known there (Henning, 2013).

Square towers became popular architectural designs used in defensive constructions and ritual monuments in the Hellenistic world along Alexander the Great’s conquests and journeys. During the Greco-Roman period temples dedicated to solar and astral cults such as the Temple of Jupiter in Baalbek in Lebanon and Temple of Bel in Palmyra in Syria comprised stepped towers for observation. R. Amy suggests that their origin is to be sought in Iran (Amy, 1950, Browning, 1979). The sites of caravan cities of Hatra, Palmyra and Petra dating from the Roman period, however follow Parthian ideals adapted into the Greco-Roman art and architecture (see Rostovtzeff, 1932, Anadol, 2008). Some tomb façades in Petra in Jordan provide...
tower-like designs that clearly bear features of an Iranian impact. The rock-cut tombs in the necropolis of the Kidron Valley in Jerusalem in Israel dating from the Hellenistic and Roman times have some common features as well (see Avigad, 1976).

Here we wish to take our previous studies further and inquire whether there were multiple functions for tower tombs, because the preliminary observations of their structures and locations in terrain indicate to their use as watchtowers as well. Watchtowers are known to be structures that provide elevated observation points for a viewer. Therefore we decided to provide digital elevation data (DEM) and apply GIS (Geographic Information Systems) to carry out viewed analyses based on the locations of the tombs in their terrains (see Wheatley 1995, Wheatley and Gillings, 2000) and study their qualities for surveillance. Viewshed analyses have been used in studying territorial, political, ethnic and religious questions. They have employed the benefits of topographic maps and digital elevation models (DEM).

For caravan cities, if placed in convenient locations, the tower tombs may have offered an opportunity for observations and to follow the movement of the caravans, but they also could have been used for strategic aims. In addition, because of the astral religions in the region at that time, the tombs as towers and ritual monuments may have been used for star gazing as well.

1.2. Documenting Tower Tombs

The tower tombs of Palmyra that are the most famous ones are standing at the SW entrance to the ancient city. Travellers since the Renaissance times have described them and drawn them. (Browning, 1979). From the ancient illustrations we can still trace sculptured decorations on the façades of the tombs. (Figs. 1. and 2.). From the beginning of the 20th century there have been systematic studies of these structures (see the literature in Will, 1949a). More recently French, Syrian, Austrian, Polish and German archaeologists have drawn plans and studied these tombs. The sizes of the plan may vary from 11 m to 5 m a side (Will, 1949a), but the base of Elahbel’s tomb reaches over 13 m a side (see Brall et al., 2001).

Aerial reconnaissance of the tower tombs has also been carried out by the French in the 1920s and 1930s (Poidebard, 1934). Photogrammetric studies have been executed by Germans in Palmyra (Brall et al., 2001) and Italians such as G. Fangi and M. Franca (Fig. 3.) during the past decades. We are fortunate to have their precise documentation for the preservation of these monuments, especially the tomb of the Elahbel family that has been described and documented by early travellers and archaeologists.

Surveys and excavations have documented other tower tombs on the Euphrates. Already F. Sarre and E. Herzfeld (1911, 1920) recorded such on the Euphrates, and the French continued the work. The Finnish archaeological project SYGIS that worked during the previous decade in Syria was also able to observe the structures of some tower tombs in Palmyra and document as well as study similar structures at Tabus on Jebel Bishri. In addition, the project members observed some comparable structures on the peninsula of Halabiya before the break of the civil war in Syria.

The towers that are interpreted as tower tombs in previous studies (H 21, H 23) are situated some hundred meters from the Late Roman-Byzantine fort of Tabus. They are built of gypseous stones, but they may just consist of the remnants of a rubble core. The plan of Tower H 21 covers 4 m x 5 m, and its preserved height is ca. 5 m. The plan of the tower H 23 covers 1.15 m x 1.25 m. (Lönnqvist et al., 2005, 2011, 319). The corner pilasters were still visible on Tower H 21 (Fig. 4.) to argue for its funerary use. This view is based on the comparisons with other tower tombs already made by F. Sarre and E. Herzfeld (1911), who dated one of the tower of Tabus to the third century AD. However, no funerary remains have been found in these towers so far, but there are visible robbers’ attempts to destroy the monuments.

American excavations have documented tower tombs at Dura Europos, a fortress city on the Euphrates. They were found in the necropolis near the western wall of the city. Generally only stepped foundations of these towers were revealed under the stone and sand rubble, but one of them had two relief pilasters between corner pilasters (Toll, 1952). One of the tombs was dated to the first century BC (Will, 1949a). Scholars have expressed opinions about the differences of these tower tombs compared with those of Palmyra, and they have seen more similarities to those of Irzi and Halabiya (Rostovtzeff, 1937).
2. THE LOCATIONS OF TOWER TOMBS IN TERRAINS

2.1. Aerial Reconnaissance and Visibilities

As previously mentioned, the tower tombs of Palmyra have been documented by remote sensing already in the 1920s and 1930s by aerial photographing and mapping of the Roman Eastern frontier zone by A. Poidebard (Poidebard, 1934). (Figs. 6. and 7.). These aerial photographs and mappings are a valuable source for studying the terrain and the location of tower tombs in Palmyra. Satellite imagery can be added for the studies as we have done using Landsat images in observing the neighbourhood of Palmyra and its roads (see Fig. 8., and another article Silver et al., forthcoming in CIPA 2015).

Initially it was observed by the authors of the present paper that in Palmyra the staircases in some tower tombs offered entrances to window balconies and roofs on which surrounding areas were able to be observed (see Fig. 2.). Then it was noticed that the tombs were located into the entrance area, whence the road led in and out of the city in its SW part (see, e.g., Seland, 2008). As stated, for a caravan city such opportunities for the surveillance of the road traffic could have been applied in the case of the tower tombs.

Generally the funerary buildings in Hatra have a square plan, either as freestanding structures or as inserted into the walls. There are ca. 142 funerary buildings, but the nature of some is not certain as no deceased have been found in them. Those inserted into the wall are built of mudbrick and standing on a stone foundation. *Terminus ante quem* AD 151 for these structures has been provided, some dating to ca. AD 100 (Tomb J3 dated to either AD 108 and 111) (Metzger, 1998).

We never visited Hatra, but the reports provide information that there are tower tombs inserted in the existing ancient city walls. Hatra is one of the caravan cities, but unlike others it has a circular plan (Fig. 5.), and the walls surrounding are over 2 km long. After W. Andrae’s studies in Hatra at the beginning of the 20th century, the Iraqi and Polish excavations have been carried out at the site (Metzger, 1998). Italians, such as E. Foietta, have been GIS mapping the ruins producing 3D models, and some documentation has also been done by CyArk.

Figure 4. The ruined Tower H 21 at Tabus on Jebel Bishri. Photo from W: E. Seland 2004.

Figure 5. The circular plan of Hatra.

Figure 6. Father A. Poidebard’s aerial photograph of the valley of tower tombs in Palmyra in Syria (Poidebard 1934).

Figure 7. Part of Poidebard’s map (1934) of the Roman eastern frontier zone in Syria displaying sites, such as cities, forts and fortresses in Syria.
We were able to carry out spatial observations of the locations of tower tombs in situ in Palmyra, at the fort of Tabus on the northeastern mountain edge of Jebel Bishri and at the fortress of Zenobia on the peninsula of Halabiya on the Euphrates in between 1997 and 2009. During the studies of Tabus at the edge of Jebel Bishri, we especially paid attention to the location of the fort towers in the terrain and landscape in 2004-2005. We carried out viewshed analyses from Tabus to the neighbouring forts and fortresses and surrounding areas. (Lönnqvist et al., 2005).

Because of the locations of the apparent tower tombs at Tabus (H 21, H 23) standing separately from the fort above the Euphrates (Fig. 9.), we already suggested that the towers had apparently been used as watchtowers (Lönnqvist et al., 2005, 2011). Our views were based on the observation of the strategic position of the towers applying GIS (Geographic Information Systems) in the landscape location. The location provided the view over the Euphrates valley that was a border between Rome and Parthia (see Edwell, 2007) and also a site for guarding the entrance way to the fort.

The excavators of Dura Europos suggest that the tendency was to make an imposing impression with the tower tombs in the landscape by constructing them further from the city walls on the main road in the plateau west of the city. The northern towers were presumably older than the southern ones. (Toll, 1952). During our visits to Dura Europos, we did not have opportunity to closely observe the tower tombs in its necropolis, but here again the tombs are located in the entrance area of the city or fortress, outside its walls and gates like in Palmyra, Tabus and Halabiya. This seems also to be the case of the tower tomb near Edessa-Urfa in Turkish Mesopotamia.

Hatra is an abandoned and ruined city like Dura Europos. Both of them have been already studied from the air early in the 20th century like Palmyra: Hatra was documented by Sir Aurel Stein and Dura by Father A. Poidebard in the early 20th century. Nowadays satellite imagery has provided additional means to approach the ruins. The defensive purpose of some of the tower tombs was already noted by the early studies by W. Andrae at Hatra. These tombs are not connected to the necropolis. (Metzger, 1998).

The references of the defensive nature by Andrae are noteworthy, if we think about the other tower tombs, their connection with the Parthian culture and the caravan cities in general. As we have suggested, some strategic use for the towers in Syria can be assumed, the defensive wall actually reinforces this additional functional aspect of the free-standing tower tombs at the entrances of the cities and fortresses, as well as at the mountain edge. L. Dirven (2013) has recently noted that the existence of Palmyrenes in Hatra was not substantial, like in Dura Europos, though the connections were lively.

3. VIEWSHED ANALYSES FROM THE SITES OF TOWER TOMBS

3.1. Capturing the Site Data

The use of GoogleEarth satellite imagery was applied for further studies of the locations and capturing the site coordinates for the tower tombs in Palmyra, Tabus, Halabiya and Hatra. Fortunately GoogleEarth provides a tool to collect coordinates in the war struck zones, which we could not visit in 2015. See Table 1. But for Tabus we had earlier recorded UTM coordinates during our fieldwork (see Lönnqvist et al., 2011).
The idea of the viewedh analysis is to place an observer on a digital elevation model (DEM) and determine the visible areas within the terrain under study. The analysis is useful to determine which areas can be seen from one or several places and which areas in the shadow, and cannot be seen (Erdas, 2001, Wheatley, 1995).

We executed viewedh analyses by using SRTM DEM (Farr et al., 2007) with Erdas Imagine 2013 from different heights ranging from 10 m, 20 m and 30 m predicting the possible heights of the towers. As indicated, least from Palmyra and Hatra we have evidence of towers exceeding 20 m in height. Satellite image data and SRTM DEM data were fused for producing digital terrain models (DTM).

The visibilities are restricted by hills in Palmyra, Halabiya and the wall itself in Hatra. The radius of the presented circles for viewsheds is 50 km (Fig. 10.). The background image of the analyses is Landsat-8: channel 7 (2 micrometer central infra), channel 5 (micrometer near infra), channel 2 (blue). The meaning of the colours indicating the viewsheds are following: red indicates what one can see from the height of 10 m, orange from 20 m and blue from 30 m.

The areas that the viewedh analyses of the tower tombs cover is here presented in km² in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Palmyra1</td>
<td>34°33′5.99″N, 38°15′0.48″E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmyra2</td>
<td>34°33′5.98″N, 38°15′17.22″E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Bishri</td>
<td>35°28′11.34″N, 39°57′22.82″E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halabiya</td>
<td>35°41′4.20″N, 39°49′25.57″E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatra</td>
<td>35°35′17.016″N, 42°43′5.988″E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.

3.2. Viewshed Analyses

The viewshed analyses based on the GIS support the strategic aspects are understood, when the towers in their locations along the roads and at the entrances of the city area offer observation platforms for following the traffic, like the caravans on the Silk Road. The military aspect for surveillance cannot be dismissed either in the strategic thinking. This is enforced by the existence of such towers in the walls of Hatra which served the strategic purposes for the defence of the city.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Heights of the towers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Palmyra1</td>
<td>53.6133, 113.5491, 166.0542 km²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmyra2</td>
<td>63.1454, 137.2339, 270.0591 km²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Bishri</td>
<td>350.0583, 393.0313, 435.0899 km²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halabiya</td>
<td>19.9059, 29.0807, 35.5363 km²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatra</td>
<td>56.0181, 119.7977, 201.6446 km²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.

So, we see that the visibility from the tower tombs of Tabus, if the towers reached the height of 20 m on Jebel Bishri, can reach very far, over 393 km², while the tower tombs of Palmyra can reach spatial visibility as far as ca. 113.5–137 km². This is very logical as the towers of Tabus are at a mountain edge and the visibility is unrestricted and intensive. Hatra reaches a similar distance with the tower tombs of Palmyra, Halabiya, however, reaches less, ca. 29 km². This indicates that the position of tower tombs of Palmyra in the landscape was most favourable for visibility for observation to a chosen direction. The visibility on Halabiya is very narrow and is limited to the road entering the fortress of Zenobia. The river and the mountain provided natural strategic possibilities, and the road was left to be more intensively surveyed. The analyses show that visibilities seem to have played some role in the function of the tower tombs.

Figure 10.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Our study on the tower tombs in the Syro-Mesopotamian sphere has provided some information of the choice of the terrain and location connected with the roads and the entrance areas of the cities. The aspects of the location in terrains are emphasized in the present study, and digital terrain models were utilized using SRTM DEM (Digital Elevation Model) data for carrying out viewedh analyses in order to survey the observational qualities of the towers in Palmyra, on Halabiya, on Jebel Bishri in Syria and Hatra in Iraq.

The high structures of the tombs, their locations and visibilities that they provide along roads and surrounding areas, suggest that beside the funerary function at least some of them seem to have served as observation or look-out points, most likely being used as watchtowers.

The viewedh analyses based on the GIS support the observational qualities of the studied tower tombs. Practical and strategic aspects are understood, when the towers in their locations along the roads and at the entrances of the city area offer observation platforms for following the traffic, like the caravans on the Silk Road. The military aspect for surveillance cannot be dismissed either in the strategic thinking. This is enforced by the existence of such towers in the walls of Hatra which served the strategic purposes for the defence of the city.
Beside the presented practical and strategic aspects, for the astral religions of the sites the tower tombs may have been functioned not only for funerary rituals but also for stargazing and other rituals connected with celestial beliefs.

The importance to continue to save the information of the cultural heritage and the legacy of Palmyra and Hatra, two World Heritage sites of UNESCO, now at risk in the war zone of Syria and Iraq is finally emphasized in this study.
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