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ABSTRACT:
The Kennaugh framework turned out to be a powerful tool for the preparation of multi-sensor SAR data during the last years. Using intensity-based (an-) isotropic diffusion algorithms like the Multi-scale Multi-looking or the Schmittlets, even robust pre-classification change detection from multi-polarized images is enabled. The only missing point so far, namely the integration of multi-mode SAR data in one image, is accomplished in this article. Furthermore, the Kennaugh decomposition is extended to multi-spectral data as well. Hence, arbitrary Kennaugh elements, be it from SAR or optical images, can be fused. The mathematical description of the most general image fusion is derived and applied to four scenarios. The validation section considers the distribution of mean and gradient in the original and the fused images by the help of scatter plots. The results prove that the fused images adopt the spatial gradient of the input image with a higher geometric resolution and preserve the local mean of the input image with a higher polarimetric and thus also radiometric resolution. Regarding the distribution of the entropy and alpha angle, the fused images are always characterized by a higher variance in the entropy-alpha-plane and therewith, a higher resolution in the polarimetric domain. The proposed algorithm guarantees optimal information integration while ensuring the separation of intensity and polarimetric/spectral information. The Kennaugh framework is ready now to be used for the sharpening of multi-sensor image data in the spatial, radiometric, polarimetric, and even spectral domain.

1. INTRODUCTION
Earth observation satellites with their diversity of sensors provide a variety of spectral, geometric, temporal, and radiometric resolutions. Their rising number raises the issue of image fusion in order to enhance interpretation capabilities of image features. The Kennaugh framework turned out to be a powerful tool for the preparation of multi-sensor SAR data during the last years. Using intensity-based (an-) isotropic diffusion algorithms like the Multi-scale Multi-looking or the Schmittlets, even robust pre-classification change detection from multi-polarized images is enabled. The only missing point so far, namely the integration of multi-mode SAR data in one image, is accomplished in this article. Furthermore, the Kennaugh decomposition is extended to multi-spectral data as well. Hence, arbitrary Kennaugh elements, be it from SAR or optical images, can be fused. The mathematical description of the most general image fusion is derived and applied to four scenarios. The validation section considers the distribution of mean and gradient in the original and the fused images by the help of scatter plots. The results prove that the fused images adopt the spatial gradient of the input image with a higher geometric resolution and preserve the local mean of the input image with a higher polarimetric and thus also radiometric resolution. Regarding the distribution of the entropy and alpha angle, the fused images are always characterized by a higher variance in the entropy-alpha-plane and therewith, a higher resolution in the polarimetric domain. The proposed algorithm guarantees optimal information integration while ensuring the separation of intensity and polarimetric/spectral information. The Kennaugh framework is ready now to be used for the sharpening of multi-sensor image data in the spatial, radiometric, polarimetric, and even spectral domain.
which can be fused to one image. The fused images benefit from
the increased resolution in both the spatial and
polarimetric/spectral domain. Four scenarios are designed in order
to prove the added value of the fused image: (1) traditional SAR-
Sharpening in the spatial domain, (2) SyntheticQuadPol, (3)
SAR-Sharpening involving a pan-chromatic image, and (4) the
fusion of SAR and optical features provided by the Sentinel-1&2.

2. TEST SITES AND REMOTE SENSING DATA

This section introduces the four application scenarios for the
following methodology (Tab. 1). Scenarios 1 and 2 fuse two
SAR images whereas scenario 1 enhances the spatial resolution
of an ALOS-PALSAR-2 QuadPol StripMap (SM) by the combination with a TerraSAR-X SpotLight (SL). The test site
covers the estuary of the Lech into the Danube near Rain am
Lech in Bavaria, Germany. This landscape is characterized by
canalized river courses, artificial lakes, floodplain forests,
aricultural areas, and settlements. Scenario 2 improves the
polarimetric resolution by fusing dual-co- and dual-cross-
polarized StripMaps (SM) of TerraSAR-X to a so-called
“SyntheticQuadPol” image. The test site covers the northern
part of Khayelitsha which is a district in Cape Town,
South Africa, with formal settlements, planned Townships and
informal, completely unorganized settlements in a relatively dry
environment. The remaining scenarios concern the fusion of
SAR images with optical data. Scenario 3 combines a QuadPol
acquisition of ALOS-PALSAR-2 with an Arial Image over
Langwasser which is a quite new district in Nuremberg,
Germany. This test site contains very diverse urban structure
types: residential buildings (with varying orientation), parks, the
southern cemetery, the Nuremberg exhibition area, a railroad
shunting yard, and industrial buildings. Scenario 4 benefits from
the synergy of Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2 by introducing SAR
intensity into an optical image and vice versa. The test site is
located near Ostseebad in Bavaria, Germany. This area is an
extensive wetland with numerous swamp lakes popular as local
recreation area.

3. THE KENNAUGH FRAMEWORK

Traditional image fusion algorithms deals with one target and
one warp image (Brown, 1992). The target image commonly
defines the reference for the final fused image in terms of
geometry and radiometry and with respect to the polarimetric
and/or spectral bands. Our approach defines an independent,
earth-fixed and practice-oriented reference frame, in which all
input images were transformed as follows.

3.1 Geometric frame

In most applications, earth-fixed coordinates are required in
order to combine the remotely sensed information with geo-
information data bases; hence, satellite images have to be
gecodeded in a pre-processing step. Thanks to the high accuracy
of today’s positioning systems, the satellites orbits can be
predicted with an accuracy of about 10 m, measured with 1 m
and adjusted (in a post-processing step) with about 0.1 m
accuracy (Peter et al., 2017). With respect to the common pixel
sizes of 10 m at minimum in the Sentinel-1 mission (in square
ground-range pixels with a reasonable number of looks), the
orbit deviation delivered with the image ranges around a tenth of
the pixel size. Thus, geocoding is simply possible using orbit
data and a digital elevation model. Because of the weak
influence of atmospheric disturbances on the microwave band,
SAR acquisitions can be projected on the earth’s surface by
solving the Doppler equation for each range line with the
accuracy of a few meters or even less (Schubert et al., 2015).

Only the geocoding of very high resolution SAR acquisitions or
the interferometric analysis of image stacks requires the
consideration of atmospheric effects. Optical bands on the
contrary are much more affected by refraction. As the influence
in the geometry increases with the incidence angle, steep (near
nadir) acquisitions are generally preferred. In the case of
Sentinel-2, the maximum incidence angle is only 10°. Because
of its push broom characteristics, the central projection equation
can be solved for each row neglecting further distortions. The

gained geolocation accuracy does not exceed a few meters
according to recent studies (Vajsova and Astrand, 2015).

3.2 Radiometric frame

As the pixels are geocoded onto the earth’s surface, equally the
radiometric frame should consider the horizontal area. For SAR
acquisitions, this means that σ0 is calculated using the β-
calibrated intensity values and the local incidence angle
(Schmitt et al., 2015) being well aware of recent, more
sophisticated methods that are preferable for rough terrain
(Small, 2011). The common models only concern the
backscatter intensity. All polarimetric channels are treated the
same way, although the impact of target orientation on
polarimetric measurements is well-known (Li et al., 2015). In
consequence, the applied calibration does not change the
polarimetric properties (see chapter 3.3). Optical data of
Sentinel-2 are already delivered as Top-Of-Atmosphere
calibrated products (Level 1C). The provided image value thus
directly reflects a multiple of the quotient of the measured
intensity to the solar illumination. Some images are also
available as Bottom-Of-Atmosphere (Level 2A) products.
Those are already corrected for atmospheric influences as far as
possible (ESA, 2018). It is recommended to use the best
 calibration variant available, though the influence on the fusion
algorithm is almost negligible. The only important characteristic
is that all data sets (SAR and optical data) are normalized to
reflectance values referring to the horizontal plane similar to σ0.

3.3 Polarimetric frame

SAR sensors always transmit polarized microwaves in order to
enable coherent measurements needed for the synthetic aperture
Today’s sensors typically measure $S_{HH}$, $S_{HV}$, $S_{VH}$, or $S_{VV}$, the so-called elements of the Sinclair matrix $S$ in linear polarization with horizontally or vertically oriented transmission and reception (Moreira et al., 2013). The included absolute but random phase impairs the direct interpretation of these complex values. Therefore, different methods of forming intensity measurements by removing the absolute phase have been developed: inter alia the coherency matrix, the covariance matrix, and the Mueller matrix which denotes the linear transform of the real Stokes vector. In the special case of a monostatic SAR system it reduces to the Kennaugh matrix (Schmitt and Brisco, 2013) consisting of the total intensity $K_0$ and up to nine polarimetric Kennaugh elements $K_i$. These can be divided by the total intensity and result in the so-called normalized Kennaugh elements $k_i$ ranging in-between $-1$ and $+1$. The total intensity $K_0$ can be related to the norm intensity of 1 by the TANH scaling and results in the normalized intensity element $k_0$ with the identical data range (Schmitt et al., 2015). The interpretation of polarimetric elements is quite simple. The value zero means "no polarimetric information". Any deviation from zero indicates polarimetric information. The sign shows the direction, for example positive values of $k_3$ stand for a higher even-bounce scattering and negative values for a higher odd-bounce scattering in the dual-co-polarized case (Moser et al., 2016). The strength of the effect can be expressed in the unit-less TANH measure or traditionally in decibel. The normalized Kennaugh elements hence enable the separation of intensity from polarimetry (Ullmann et al., 2017). The polarimetric information therefore can be combined with an arbitrary intensity measure. For example a combination of a constant intensity of one SAR sensor (for study purposes) and an intensity acquired by another SAR sensor or even with a reflectance acquired by panchromatic optical sensors are possible. Because of the incoherent illumination by the sun without fixed polarization direction, polarimetry cannot be measured by optical satellite sensors. In summary, the only cross connection between SAR and optics is the total intensity, whereas SAR is able to provide additionally polarimetric information about the illuminated targets.

### 3.4 Spectral frame

The spectral resolution is one key feature of optical sensors. We distinguish panchromatic, multispectral, and hyperspectral sensors. Panchromatic refers to only one image channel with a large bandwidth. Multispectral sensors provide up to 15 bands with medium band width. Hyperspectral images may consist of more than hundred narrow and highly correlated bands. This article focusses on the four channel image which is typical for aerial sensor systems measuring blue, green, red, and infrared reflectance values gathered in vector $\mathbf{R}$. Furthermore, these four bands are delivered in the maximum spatial resolution (10 m pixel raster) in the products of Sentinel-2. The goal is the separation of intensity from spectral information which is reached by the traditional Hue-Saturation-Value (HSV) transformation for R-G-B images. We defined an invertible linear transform of four channels which is fully described by the 4-by-4 matrix $\mathbf{A}$ (Eq. (1)). Out of the infinite number of possible orthogonal transformations, the elements of $\mathbf{A}$ are chosen according to the Kennaugh concept in polarimetry. Following equation with total intensity and intensity differences with equal weighting of positive and negative summands has been defined:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & 1 & -1
\end{bmatrix}$$

(1)

Assuming a uniform distribution of the intensity over the four input channels (a grey scale image respectively), the expectation value of each resulting spectral Kennaugh element is zero. By analogy to the polarimetric Kennaugh elements any deviation from zero can be interpreted as spectral information. From wavelet theory, this transform might be interpreted as a Haar wavelet decomposition: the first row contains the low pass, the second row reflects the band pass Haar wavelet of the first scale in central position, the third row contains the same Haar wavelet shifted by one channel, and the fourth row defines the high pass Haar wavelet (Haar, 1910).

Back to matrix calculation, the design matrix $\mathbf{A}$ represents an orthogonal matrix which means that it is simply invertible by transposition $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}^T$. The multiplication with $\mathbf{A}$ does not change the length of the input colour vector $||\mathbf{R}|| = ||\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{R}||$, and the resulting dimensions are orthogonal and thus independent of each other. The linear transform then unfolds to

$$\mathbf{\bar{R}} = \begin{bmatrix}
K_0 \\
K_1 \\
K_2 \\
K_3
\end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{A} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
R_{\text{blue}} \\
R_{\text{green}} \\
R_{\text{red}} \\
R_{\text{infrared}}
\end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{\bar{R}}$$

(2)

where the elements of $\mathbf{\bar{R}}$ share the same characteristics as the Kennaugh elements known from polarimetry. Hence, $K_0$ is the total intensity. The remaining elements resemble intensity differences. In this manner, the proposed decomposition are similar to the well-known Tasselled Cap transform with the main difference that the Tasselled Cap reduces the dimensionality and hence does not represent an orthogonal transform (Kauth and Thomas, 1976). All Kennaugh elements can be projected on a closed value range by the division through the total intensity. According to Schmitt et al. (2015) the normalized elements can be defined as follows

$$k_0 = (K_0 - 1)/(K_0 + 1) \in [-1, +1]$$

(3)

$$k_i = K_i/K_0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3 \in [-1, +1]$$

(4)

In consequence, these multi-spectral elements can be treated as Kennaugh elements known from polarimetry. The inverse transform is always possible applying $\mathbf{\bar{R}} = \mathbf{A}^T \cdot \mathbf{\bar{R}}$. The presented orthogonal transform allows the separation of intensity from multispectral information. As the mono-frequency SAR sensors in general are not able to provide multispectral information, the only cross connection between SAR and optical data again is given by the total intensity.

### 4. SAR-SHARPENING

Thanks to the chosen geometric and radiometric frames, the fusion requirements are already fulfilled by the pre-processing steps. The delivered SAR data processed in the Multi-SAR framework (Bertram et al., 2016), the optical data sets provided by the Sentinel-2 mission, and the aerial image mosaic (LDBV 2018) can directly be used. Minor deviations resulting from an outdated or coarse digital elevation model might potentially occur but are not addressed in this article. The question to be answered in the following sections is how to optimally fuse intensity measurements and how to replace intensity channels without influencing polarimetry and spectral properties in a multi-sensor data set.

#### 4.1 Intensity Averaging

Intensity by definition represents a conservative potential field. For instance, there is no negative intensity and the mean intensity of an area - defined as the arithmetic mean of the available local intensity measures - is always greater than zero. Hence, an
additive combination of intensity measures is prescribed. The polarimetric and spectral Kennaugh decomposition in this sense is nothing else than a linear combination of intensities. The Kennaugh elements, be it \( K_i \) or \( K_{ij} \), can be treated in the same way. In order to consider the potentially varying spatial resolution of the input data, the number of looks \( l_j \) per pixel is introduced as weight. Assuming \( n \) intensity images of the same area, the total number of looks is given by \( l = \sum_{j=1}^{n} l_j \). From statistics, this can be interpreted as the mean over \( l \) independent measurements available for the target pixel area. The individual number of looks \( l_j \) can be seen as quotient of the target pixel area \( a_j \) in the fused image by the measured pixel area \( a_{m,j} \) and provides an adequate sampling rate:

\[
l_j = a_j / a_{m,j} \quad (5)
\]

The intensity fusion hence unfolds to the weighted arithmetic mean of the input intensities \( K_{ij} \) in linear scale including the look numbers as weights:

\[
s_{K_l} = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{j=1}^{l} l_j \cdot K_{ij} \quad (6)
\]

The fused intensity \( s_{K_l} \) is given in linear scale again, i.e. \( s_{K_l} \in [0, \infty) \). This is also the typical data range of variance measures. From radar theory, any intensity resembles a squared deviation. The mean intensity over \( l \) measurements hence defines the mean squared deviation, namely the variance.

As the use of normalized intensities is preferable with view to memory demand (Schmitt et al., 2015), the following equation can be derived from Eq. 3 for the fused normalized intensity which is independent from polarimetric or spectral information:

\[
s_{K_0} = \frac{s_{K_l} - 1}{s_{K_l} + 1} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{l} l_j \cdot K_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} l_j} \quad (7)
\]

In that way, the workaround over linear intensities can be avoided. The normalized fused intensity shows a closed value range \( s_{K_0} \in [-1, +1] \). With respect to statistics, the fused intensity \( s_{K_0} \) equals the normalized deviation from a normal distribution with its expected variance in one.

Regarding the definition of normalized polarimetric and spectral Kennaugh elements respectively in Eq. 4, the calculation of the fused elements consequently unfolds to

\[
s_{K_{lj}} = \frac{s_{K_l}}{s_{K_0}} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{l} l_j \cdot K_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} l_j \cdot K_{ij} + 1} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{l} l_j \cdot K_{ij} + 1}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} l_j} \quad (8)
\]

In summary, fused intensity, polarimetric, and spectral information can be expressed in Kennaugh elements in linear and in TANH scale. The additive fusion as weighted arithmetic (see Eq. 6) yields maximum stability for statistical reasons as long as the images to be fused share exactly the same polarimetric or spectral dimensions.

4.2 Intensity Substitution

The idea behind the Kennaugh decomposition is the separation of intensity from polarimetric and/ or spectral information in order to remove illumination effects like insufficient topographic calibration in SAR images or varying solar irradiance in optical images. The image then decomposes to

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
K_0 \\
K_1 \\
. \\
. \\
K_m
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
K_0 \\
K_1 \\
. \\
. \\
K_m
\end{bmatrix} K_{ij} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix}
K_0 \\
K_1 \\
. \\
. \\
K_m
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
K_0 \\
K_1 \\
. \\
. \\
K_m
\end{bmatrix} K_{ij} \quad (9)
\]

Both the scalar intensity and the Kennaugh vector can be substituted. For instance, the polarimetry acquired by a SAR sensor can be spread by the intensity measured by an optical sensor in order to retrieve smoother results. The spectral Kennaugh elements of an optical image can be stretched by the intensity acquired by a SAR sensor in order introduce image texture vice versa. The intensity is the only overlapping dimension as stated before. Hence, both intensity measures can potentially be fused according to Eq. 6 whereas the vectors of polarimetric and spectral elements (see Eq. 9) are just concatenated:

\[
l_{\text{SAR} + \text{OPT}} = s_{K_0} \cdot [1 \quad k_1 \quad \ldots k_m \quad k_1 \quad \ldots k_q]^T \quad (10)
\]

As only the intensity measure is fused, this approach is reasonable if images with no overlap in the polarimetric or spectral domain are available. The typical application is the fusion of a multi-polarized SAR image with a multi-spectral optical image.

4.3 Intensity Fusion

The most general and most complicated case is the fusion of several partially overlapping dimensions. In contrast to the preceding sections, both requirements have to be fulfilled at the same time: the stable additive combination from (Sec. 4.1) and the isolated consideration of intensity and polarimetric/spectral information from (Sec. 4.2). According to Eqs. 2 and 6, the fusion of linear Kennaugh elements can be expressed in matrix notation:

\[
s_{\bar{R}} = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{j=1}^{l} l_j \cdot \bar{R}_{ij} = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{j=1}^{l} l_j \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \bar{R}_{ij} = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{j=1}^{l} l_j \cdot \bar{R}_{ij} \quad (11)
\]

Obviously, it is completely irrelevant whether a collection of Kennaugh vectors \( \bar{R}_{ij} \) or a collection of reflectance vectors \( \bar{R}_{ij} \) is fused. Assuming that not all positions of \( \bar{R} \) or \( \bar{R} \) are filled, the entity of measurements and the total number of looks \( l \) needed for normalization purposes is no longer uniform. That is why a look vector \( l_j \) with entries \( l_{ij} \) is introduced that attaches an individual look number to each element \( \bar{K}_{ij} \) of \( \bar{R} \). The normalization leads to an elements-wise division by the corresponding look number.

\[
s_{\bar{K}_l} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{l} l_j \cdot \bar{K}_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} l_j} \quad (12)
\]

The same problem occurs with the normalized Kennaugh elements: the total intensity \( s_{K_0} \) as weighted sum over all measurements \( j \) is not the adequate calibration factor for all entries \( s_{K_0} \) because \( s_{K_0} \) possibly comprises of only a subset of all measurements. This is taken into account by the individual look number \( l_{ij} \) and a specific total intensity for each polarimetric/spectral element:

\[
s_{\bar{K}_{lj}} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{l} l_{ij} \cdot \bar{K}_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} l_{ij} \cdot \bar{K}_{ij}} \quad (13)
\]

The total intensity \( s_{K_0} \) which is the essential dimension of each measurement is calculated by applying the look numbers \( l_{ij} \) which are identical to \( l_j \) known from Eq. 11. The normalization by the reference intensity of one finally leads to

\[
s_{\bar{K}_{lj}} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{l} l_{ij} \cdot \bar{K}_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} l_{ij} \cdot \bar{K}_{ij} + \sum_{j=1}^{l} l_{ij} \cdot \bar{K}_{ij}} \quad (14)
\]

In summary, three cases of data fusion have been addressed: the averaging of redundant measurements (as mathematical basis for the whole data fusion approach), the substitution of independent measurements (scenarios 3 and 4), and the fusion of partially redundant measurements (scenarios 1 and 2). Those cases will be subject to the following application and quality assessment.
Figure 1. The fusion of dual-co-pol and quad-pol images.

Figure 2. The fusion of dual-pol images to one quad-pol image.
Figure 3. The fusion of quad-pol SAR with Aerial Orthophotos.

Figure 4. The fusion of Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2.
5. RESULTS

This section illustrates the results of the data fusion approach:

Scenario 1 - A quad-pol image acquisition of ALOS-PALSAR-2 is fused with a dual-co-pol spotlight image of TerraSAR-X in order to slightly enhance the spatial resolution and to stabilize the co-polarized information according to Sec. 4.3, see Fig. 1.

Scenario 2 - Two dual-pol stripmap acquisitions of TerraSAR-X, namely one dual-co-pol HH/VV and one dual-cross-pol VV/VH measurement, are fused in order to generate a synthetic, but adequate quad-pol image according to Sec. 4.3, see Fig. 2.

Scenario 3 - The intensity of a quad-pol image acquired by ALOS-PALSAR-2 is replaced by the total intensity of the channels measured by an airborne camera in order to enhance the spatial resolution according to Sec. 4.2, see Fig. 3.

Scenario 4 - The images of the Sentinel-1 (Interferometric Wide Swath, VV/VH) and Sentinel-2 (Blue-Green-Red-Infrared) missions are fused in order to introduce SAR texture into the multispectral image according to Sec. 4.2, see Fig. 4.

Figs 1-4 depict the input images, the fused data set, and a physical map of the respective test site. The coordinates refer to UTM Zone 32N and UTM Zone 34S respectively for Fig. 2.

6. VALIDATION

The validation of image fusion algorithms is always a difficult task for lack of adequate and comprehensive ground truth data. Consequently, inter-comparison is the only feasible way. As input images inherently differ in terms of sensor, wavelength, illumination, and image generation, just to mention a few aspects, measures that match both the input and the fused images are required. We decided in favour of two isolated considerations: first, spatial resolution and second, polarimetric resolution.

Spatial resolution is described by the local gradient: the higher the gradient, the higher the resolution as long as the mean values are not contaminated by noise. The noise contamination comes along with a random change of the local value. Therefore, the local intensity is plotted against the local gradient according to Schmitt (2016). The left-hand side of Figs. 5-8 illustrates the distribution of the two input images in red and green and the resulting distribution of the fused images in blue. The polarimetric resolution, generally called “polarimetric information content”, is determined in the entropy-alpha plane. Entropy shows the diversity of the local scattering, whereas the alpha angle indicates the location of the mean backscattering in the polarimetric domain and thus the scattering mechanism (Cloude and Pottier, 1996). Depending on the input polarizations the scatter plot in the entropy-alpha plane shows varying characteristics. In general, the scatter data range varies from narrow to broad band with increasing polarimetric information (Cloude, 2007). The distribution is again plotted in three colors: red and green for the input images, and blue for the fused image.

Mixed colors display the joint occurrence in two images, whereas pink stands for an overlay of the fused image with the first input image and turquoise for the accordance between the fused image and the second input image. White demonstrates that all three images share a high occurrence in the local feature plane. Pure red or green color means that features of the input images are dismissed in the fused image. Pure blue marks new information.

The validation of scenario 1 in Fig. 5 shows that the distribution of the mean and the gradient is quite different in the two input images. Nevertheless, the fused image is a good trade-off between both input intensities: the overlay of TerraSAR-X and ALOS-PALSAR-2 is completely covered by the fused image. Additionally, both the pink and turquoise areas can be identified, where the characteristics of one input image are captured. With respect to the polarimetric resolution on the right side of Fig. 5, the input images fill a small part of the feature plane, whereas the fused image covers nearly the whole of the possible data range.

The validation of scenario 2 in Fig. 6 suggests that the input images are quite similar in terms of mean and gradient which is reasonable because both images are acquired by TerraSAR-X in the same acquisition mode. The fused image necessarily shares the same characteristics. Regarding the polarimetric properties on the right-hand side of Fig. 6, the polarimetric information contained in the dual-co-pol and dual-cross-pol images is quite different. Nevertheless, the fused image fills the whole data range, hence it optimally integrates both partial-polarimetric information.

The validation of scenario 3 in Fig. 7 shows the intensity fusion whilst preserving the polarimetric properties. Both requirements are perfectly met by the fused image. The distribution of the mean and the gradient matches the distribution of the optical input image. The polarimetric information is completely identical to the quad-pol input image. Hence, the proposed image fusion guarantees the separation of intensity and polarimetry. A similar behaviour can be observed in the validation of scenario 4 in Fig. 8. The polarimetric distribution of the fused image follows the distribution of the SAR input image independent of the spectral information content introduced by the

Figure 5. 2D-distribution of TerraSAR-X dual-co-pol (red), ALOS-PALSAR quad-pol (green), and the fused image (blue).

Figure 6. 2D-distribution of TerraSAR-X dual-co-pol (red), dual-cross-pol (green), and the combined image (blue).

Figure 7. 2D-distribution of an aerial orthophoto (red), ALOS-PALSAR quad-pol (green), and the fused image (blue).

Figure 8. 2D-distribution of Sentinel-2 R-G-B-IR (red), Sentinel-1 VV/VH (green), and the fused image (blue).
optical input image. The validation of the mean and the gradient indicates that the image characteristics of the input acquisitions are very different, which was expected (e.g. Fig. 7). In contrast to scenario 3, the fused image does not follow the optical intensity exclusively because of the similar spatial resolution - and thus, almost equal look numbers - with Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2 images. Therefore, the fused intensity reflects an improved mean of both inputs. In summary, the proposed image fusion algorithm fulfills all requirements in each of the four scenarios which cover varying multi-sensor input data as well as varying test sites.

7. CONCLUSION
This article introduces a versatile approach to SAR-Sharpening in analogy to PAN-Sharpening known from optical data. It is based on the Kennaugh framework known from SAR pre-processing. The geometric frame is given by geocoded images in earth-fixed coordinates. The radiometric frame refers to the horizontal projection plane which requires $\sigma_0$. The polarimetric frame is given by the normalized Kennaugh elements decomposing multi-polarized measurements into a total intensity referred to one and several normalized intensity differences. With respect to optical images, multi-spectral Kennaugh elements are defined for the first time. They share the same properties with polarimetric Kennaugh elements and thus guarantee the easy fusion of SAR and optical data sets. The fusion of partial measurements takes into account the local number of data points and the backscatter intensity, which refers to the reliability of the derived polarimetric or spectral information. The normalization step always has to comply with the total intensity of the corresponding Kennaugh element. The general definition simplifies in case of completely overlapping polarimetric and/or spectral domain or in the case of a pure intensity fusion. The validation considers the mean and the gradient of the fused intensity as well as the polarimetric information content depicted in the entropy-alpha plane. The four scenarios prove that the separation of intensity and polarimetric/spectral information is achieved on one hand, and the fused images optimally integrate the information provided by both input data sets on the other hand. This approach completes the Kennaugh framework previously introduced for the pre-processing of multi-sensor SAR data and the robust change detection. It opens the door to the Kennaugh processing of optical data sets and thus, brings SAR and Optical remote sensing another small step closer.
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