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ABSTRACT:

Recently, many companies and research centers have been working on research and development of navigation technologies for self-driving cars. Many navigation technologies were developed based on the fusion of various sensors. However, most of these techniques used expensive sensors and consequently increase the overall cost of such cars. Therefore, low-cost sensors are now a rich research topic in land vehicle navigation. Consumer Portable Devices (CPDs) such as smartphones and tablets are being widely used and contain many sensors (e.g. cameras, barometers, magnetometers, accelerometers, gyroscopes, and GNSS receivers) that can be used in the land vehicle navigation applications.

This paper investigates various land vehicle navigation systems based on low-cost self-contained inertial sensors in CPD, vehicle information and on-board sensors with a focus on GNSS denied environment. Vehicle motion information such as forward speed is acquired from On-Board Diagnosis II (OBD-II) while the land vehicle heading change is estimated using CPD attached to the steering wheel. Additionally, a low-cost on-board GNSS/inertial integrated system is also employed. The paper investigates many navigation schemes such as different Dead Reckoning (DR) systems, Reduced Inertial Sensor System (RISS) based systems, and aided loosely coupled GNSS/inertial integrated system.

An experimental road test is performed, and different simulated GNSS signal outages were applied to the data. The results show that the modified RISS system based on OBD-II velocity, onboard gyroscopes, accelerometers, and CPD-based heading change provides a better navigation estimation than the typical RISS system for 90s GNSS signal outage. On the other hand, typical inertial aided with CPD heading change, OBD-II velocity updates, and Non-Holonomic Constraint (NHC) provide the best navigation result.

1. INTRODUCTION

Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) is the most commonly used navigation component in land vehicles where it provides a long-term accurate estimate for position and velocity states (Aggarwal et al., 2008). Unfortunately, GNSS signals suffer from blockage and multipath (Bancroft, 2009) in some operating conditions such as urban areas (Venkatraman et al., 2010) and foliage regions where the navigation solution is blocked or deteriorated.

Inertial Navigation System (INS) provides reliable short-term full navigation estimates (position, velocity, and attitudes) for land vehicles (Iqbal et al., 2010). However, the navigation solution is degraded after a short time due to the INS drift especially for Micro-Electro-Mechanical Systems (MEMS) based INS because of its accelerometers and gyroscopes large errors and noise characteristics (Abd Rabbou and El-Rabban, 2015).

Therefore, GNSS and INS are integrated to overcome the shortcomings of each sensor and to provide a more reliable navigation solution in both short and long-term periods (Niu et al., 2007). GNSS/INS has a defect when GNSS signal is blocked for a long time where INS standalone navigation solution will deteriorate quickly due to INS drift. Therefore, INS should be aided with other sensors to mitigate its large drift and to provide a better navigation estimation.

Many aiding sensors are used for land vehicle navigation such as odometers, ultrasonic sensors (M Moussa et al., 2019), magnetometers (Won et al., 2015), Light Detection And Ranging (LiDAR) (Gao et al., 2015) (Tang et al., 2015), cameras (Zhenbo Liu, 2019), (Liu et al., 2018), and Radio Detection And Ranging (RADAR) (Bo et al., 2018).

Unfortunately, there are many drawbacks for using these sensors such as the magnetic interference in the case of magnetometers, the high price, high computational and processing cost, and the environmental effects in the case of LiDAR and cameras. Finally, sensor installation is a very hard process for the ultrasonic. Maps aiding navigation is used in many previous researches to help low-cost INS in GNSS denied environments (Attila, 2013). Such map aiding depends on the availability and update rate of the required maps.

Consumer Portable Devices (CPDs) are widely used all over the globe. CPDs contain many sensors such as GNSS receivers, low-cost INS, magnetometer, barometer, and camera that can be used in many land vehicles applications such as navigation, lane localization (Song et al., 2017) (Zhu et al., 2017), environmental perception, safety driving monitoring, insurance telematics (Wahlström et al., 2017), and road surface condition monitoring (Sathe and Deshmukh, 2017).

RISS is a Dead Reckoning (DR) navigation system which is categorized into 2D and 3D RISS where 2D RISS consists of one gyroscope and a source of land vehicle forward velocity such as odometer. On the other hand, 3D RISS consists of one gyroscope and two-axis accelerometers along with an odometer (Noureldin et al., 2013). RISS has been addressed in many previous researches. (Iqbal et al., 2008) described the mechanism of RISS as well as its integration with GNSS.
through Kalman Filter (KF). 3D RISS/GNSS integrated system through Particle Filter (PF) was addressed in (Georgy et al., 2010a). (Georgy et al., 2010b) integrated RISS along with GNSS through a tightly coupled fusion scheme with PF and compared the results with KF.

Some researchers worked on enhancing the RISS in GNSS denied environment fusing other sensors such as magnetometers to offer a heading update to aid RISS during GNSS signal outage through EKF (Abosekeen et al., 2019).

CAN (Controller Area Network) bus provides some useful information about the vehicle dynamics such as the forward velocity and steering angle data. However, the commercial On-Board Diagnostics (OBD-II) provides the velocity information and does not typically provide the steering angle data unless additional customized hardware and software designs are developed (Xiao et al., 2018). Therefore, a new method for estimating the steering angle was proposed by (Moussa et al., 2019) through CPD accelerometers and then the land vehicle change of heading is estimated.

The main objective of this paper is to investigate different low-cost land vehicle navigation systems based on CPD sensors and land vehicle information. These navigation systems are based on DR, RISS, and loosely coupled INS/GNSS integrated systems. The outcome of this paper will not only help land vehicle navigation applications but will also open the door for many mobile mapping applications based on CPD sensors.

2. METHODOLOGY

The methodology consists of five subsections: land vehicle heading change estimation using CPD accelerometers, DR navigation system based on heading change estimated by CPD accelerometers and OBD-II velocity, DR navigation system with gyroscope updates, 3D RISS, and typical loosely coupled GNSS/INS with CPD heading change and OBD-II velocity updates during GNSS signal outage.

2.1 CPD accelerometers Heading Change Estimation

Land vehicle heading change estimation using CPD accelerometers is described in details in (Moussa et al., 2019). CPD is mounted on the vehicle steering wheel to estimate the steering angle through CPD accelerometers as shown in Figure 1.

The steering angle computation should be compensated for the leveling of the onboard INS, the steering wheel inclination, the vehicle acceleration, and the vehicle inclination. However, all these factors may be ignored if only one CPD is used in the navigation state estimation, i.e. when no onboard INS is used. Therefore, the steering wheel angle is estimated as shown in equation 1.

\[
\tan \theta = \frac{a_y}{a_x}
\]  

(1)

Where \(a_x\) and \(a_y\) are the CPD accelerometers of y and x-axis, \(\theta\) is the steering wheel angle.

If there is an onboard INS, all the factors are compensated from the CPD steering wheel angle estimation as shown in Figure 2, and equation 2 (Moussa et al., 2019).

\[
\tan \theta = \frac{-a_x a_y - a_z [a_x \sin \delta - y \cos \delta - a_z \cos \delta]}{a_z [a_x \sin \delta - y \cos \delta - a_z \cos \delta] - a_x a_y}
\]

(2)

Where \(\delta\) is the steering wheel inclination angle, \(g\) is the gravity acceleration, \(a_x, a_y, \text{ and } a_z\) are the vehicle onboard accelerometers of x, y and z-axis compensated from the vehicle inclination. The vehicle heading change is estimated in equation 3.

\[
\Delta \theta_{\text{vehicle}} = (\text{VSR}) \theta_{\text{steering}}
\]

(3)

Where VSR is the Vehicle Steering Ratio which should be constant for each type and model of vehicles. \(\Delta \theta_{\text{vehicle}}\) is the change of heading.

During GNSS signal availability, the reference navigation whether it is from GNSS only or GNSS/INS integrated system provides a reference heading change to model the errors (bias and scale factor) of the heading change estimated from CPD accelerometers as shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 3](https://example.com/fig3.png)

Figure 3. CPD Heading change errors modeling using the reference navigation system

2.2 DR navigation system based on CPD heading change and OBD-II velocity

The evaluated dead reckoning scheme is based on the estimated heading change by the CPD accelerometers and the vehicle forward velocity information obtained from a commercial On-Board Diagnosis II (OBD-II). Figure 4 depicts this DR navigation system.
During GNSS signal availability, GNSS provides the land vehicle navigation solution in addition to the reference heading to calibrate the errors of the CPD heading change. On the other hand, during GNSS signal outages, the OBD-II forward velocity along with the change of heading estimated from the CPD forms a DR navigation system.

It is worth mentioning here that this proposed navigation solution doesn’t require any on-board sensors as it is based only on the CPD mounted on the steering wheel and a commercial OBD-II which makes it a very low-cost navigation system.

2.3 DR navigation system based on CPD heading change, gyrosopes measurements and OBD-II velocity

This proposed navigation system is based on the vehicle information gathered from a commercial OBD-II and the heading change computed from the CPD accelerometer and a calibrated z-gyroscope from an on-board low-cost INS as shown in Figure 5.

![Diagram](image)

Figure 5. Proposed DR navigation system scheme based on CPD heading change, vehicle information, and onboard z-gyroscope.

An Integrated Heading Change (IHC) is computed using both the CPD heading change and the calibrated z-gyroscope angular rate. The DR system works during GNSS signal outage while the GNSS provides the navigation solution during its availability and calibrates the z-gyroscope as well the CPD heading change errors. The proposed navigation system is applied when there is an on-board INS, as well as a CPD, fixed on the steering wheel.

2.4 Typical 3D RISS navigation system

The main idea of the 3D RISS is described in (Noureldin, Aboelmagd, Karamat, Tashfeen and Georgy, 2013) and (Iqbal et al., 2008), it has been proposed to reduce some errors of the full inertial sensors system in addition to the reduction of the cost by using fewer sensors. 3D RISS systems consist of two accelerometers that are mounted in the forward and transverse moving directions in addition to a vehicle odometer as well as a vertical gyroscope which is mounted in the z-direction. The main function of the vertical gyroscope is to estimate the azimuth angle as shown in equation (4) and (5) (Noureldin, et al., 2013)

$$\dot{\phi}_k = \dot{\phi}_k + \frac{v_y}{R_M + h} dt$$

$$\lambda_{k+1} = \lambda_k + \frac{v_y}{(R_M + h) \cos \phi} dt$$

where $R_M$ is the meridian radius of curvature.

The typical 3D RISS integration scheme is depicted in Figure 6.

![Diagram](image)

Figure 6. Typical 3D RISS navigation system scheme based on onboard sensors and vehicle information.
2.5 Modified 3D RISS navigation system

The proposed modified 3D RISS navigation system is based on OBD-II velocity, onboard gyroscopes, accelerometers, and CPD-based heading change as shown in Figure 7.

The CPD is mounted on the steering wheel to estimate the steering wheel angle and then the vehicle heading change is calculated. The Integrated Azimuth Change is calculated using a weighted mean between the CPD heading change and the z-gyroscope angular rate.

2.6 Loosely coupled GNSS/INS with different update types

Typical GNSS/INS loosely coupled integration scheme is based on GNSS that provides the navigation filter with position and velocity updates to aid the INS. During GNSS signal outages, INS should be aided to mitigate its large drift. Different update types are investigated which are: the forward velocity, the CPD-based change of heading, the z-gyroscope angular rate, the magnetometer heading, and Non-Holonomic Constraint (NHC) updates and different combinations between these updates. Figure 8 shows the GNSS/INS integration scheme with vehicle forward velocity and CPD heading change updates.

GNSS/INS loosely coupled integration is used to estimate the navigation solution through EKF during GNSS signal availability. While the proposed CPD heading change and the OBD-II forward velocity aids the low-cost INS during GNSS signal outage.

EKF is one of the most common navigation filters used in land vehicle navigation. It deals with the navigation states and the INS biases. The error states vector $\delta \mathbf{x}$ consists of 21 states as follows:

$$
\delta \mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \begin{bmatrix}
\delta P_{t+1} & \delta \beta_{t+1} & \delta \alpha_{t+1} & b_{a_t} & b_{b_t} & S_{a_t} & S_{b_t}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

where $\delta P$, $\delta \beta$, and $\delta \alpha$ are the position, the velocity, and the attitude error states respectively, $b_a$ and $b_b$ are the biases of the accelerometers and the gyroscopes respectively. Finally, $S_a$ and $S_b$ are the scale factor of the accelerometers and gyroscopes respectively.

There are two main stages of the KF- prediction and update stages. The system model describes the time evolution of the navigation states and is responsible for the prediction stage. On the other hand, the measurement model provides updates to the navigation filter. The KF models and stages equations are depicted in Figure 9.

Where $\dot{x}$ is the rate of change of the state vector, $F$ is the dynamics matrix, $x$ is the error state vector, $G$ is the shaping matrix, and $w$ is white noise. $\Phi_{k+1}$ is the transition matrix, $I$ is the identity matrix and $\Delta t$ is the time interval, $Q$ is the process noise matrix which defines the uncertainty of the system model. Finally, $P_k$ is the states’ covariance matrix. $(-)$ refers to the predicted elements, and finally, $(+)$ refers to updated elements, $z_k$ is the observation vector, $H_k$ is the design matrix, $\eta_k$ is the noise measurement, $R_k$ is the covariance matrix of the measurement noise which describes the uncertainty of the observations.

3. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

An experimental real data set was collected using Pixhawk 4 board which consists of a GNSS u-blox Neo-M8N receiver and ICM-20689 Invensense low-cost IMU in which its x-axis coincides with the forward vehicle direction. An iPhone 6 is used in the experiment which is mounted on the steering wheel of a Ford Focus car. A low-cost OBD-II interface (Uni-link Mini ELM327 OBD-II Bluetooth Scanner Tool) is used to access the regular odometer data from the vehicle.

The experiment was performed in an outdoor parking lot where different simulated GNSS signal outages were applied to the trajectory to show the impact of proposed techniques on the final navigation solution. Pixhawk 4 GNSS/INS integration is the reference navigation solution with sub-meter accuracy.

The experimental results are divided into two subsections which are the heading change regression model, and the heading change estimation results and different navigation systems results.

3.1 Heading Change Regression Model and Estimation Update

The steering wheel angle is estimated using CPD accelerometers where the CPD is attached to the steering wheel angle then the vehicle heading change is calculated using the estimated steering wheel angle. Linear regression is implemented to fit a model between the estimated heading change and the reference values. Figure 10 shows the regression
model between the steering wheel angle and the reference heading change.

The reference heading change is estimated either from the GNSS velocity or from GNSS/INS integration when using the typical loosely coupled integration scheme. Figure 11 exhibits the heading change estimated by the proposed method and the reference heading change.

The difference between the change of heading estimated by the CPD accelerometers and the reference heading change is computed to assess the proposed method. The RMSE of the heading change estimation is 1.54 degrees/sec for around 290 seconds.

3.2 Navigation States Estimation Results

Navigation estimation results section is divided into three subsections which are: the dead reckoning results, the 3D RISS results, and results of loosely coupled INS integration with different updates. The vehicle trajectory consists of three loops where the outages are chosen for the second and/or third loop.

3.2.1 DR based on CPD heading change and OBD-II velocity results

DR navigation system is based on the land vehicle heading change estimated from the CPD accelerometers that are mounted on the vehicle steering wheel. The velocity information is obtained from the regular odometer from OBD-II.

II. Figures 12 and 13 show the DR trajectory along with the reference for two GNSS outage periods of 90 seconds and 180 seconds respectively.

The DR trajectory is close to the reference trajectory during the 90 seconds outage period while it deviates at the beginning of the second 90 seconds. Table 1 shows the position RMSE for DR system for different GNSS signal outages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Navigation solution</th>
<th>RMSE (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outage 1 (90 seconds)</td>
<td>7.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outage 2 (90 seconds)</td>
<td>12.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outage (180 seconds)</td>
<td>32.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Position RMSE for DR navigation solution method for different GNSS signal outage

3.2.2 DR based on CPD heading change, gyroscopes measurements and OBD-II velocity Results

This proposed navigation system depends on the CPD accelerometers as well as the on-board IMU gyroscope for providing heading change information to the system while the OBD-II provides the vehicle forward velocity. Figure 14, and 15 depicts the DR trajectory with the reference and the outage period.
Figure 14. DR trajectory based on CPD sensors and onboard gyroscope for 90 seconds GNSS signal outage

Figure 15. DR trajectory based on CPD sensors and onboard gyroscope for 180 seconds GNSS signal outage

Figure 16. Typical 3D RISS trajectory for 90 seconds GNSS signal outage

Figure 17. Typical 3D RISS trajectory for 180 seconds GNSS signal outage

If the system depends only on the gyroscope in providing the heading change information along with the OBD-II velocity, the position RMSE is around 7.80 meters for 90 seconds GNSS signal outage. However, integrating the heading change information from both the CPD sensors and onboard gyroscopes provides a better position estimation. Table 2 shows the position RMSE for this navigation system for different GNSS signal outages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Navigation solution</th>
<th>RMSE (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outage 1 (90 seconds)</td>
<td>6.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outage 2 (90 seconds)</td>
<td>6.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outage (180 seconds)</td>
<td>18.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Position RMSE for DR navigation solution method based on both CPD heading change and gyroscope for different GNSS signal outages

3.2.3 Typical 3D RISS Navigation Results

Typical 3D RISS navigation system is based on the compensated on-board z gyroscope, the x and y accelerometers and the forward velocity from a regular odometer. Figures 16 and 17 show the typical 3D RISS trajectory along with the reference trajectory and the GNSS outage period for 90 seconds and 180 seconds GNSS signal outages respectively.

Table 3 shows the position RMSE for different GNSS signal outages for typical 3D RISS navigation system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Navigation solution</th>
<th>RMSE (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outage 1 (90 seconds)</td>
<td>5.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outage 2 (90 seconds)</td>
<td>4.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outage (180 seconds)</td>
<td>6.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Position RMSE for 3D RISS navigation solution method for different GNSS signal outage

The navigation state estimation is improved where the average position RMSE is around 5.00 meters for 90 seconds GNSS signal outage.

3.2.4 Modified 3D RISS Navigation Results

Modified 3D RISS depends on the compensated on-board z gyroscope along with the CPD mounted on the steering wheel for providing the land vehicle heading change. Figure 18 and 19 exhibit the modified 3D RISS, reference trajectory and the outage period.
Figure 18. Modified 3D RISS trajectory for 90 seconds GNSS signal outage

Figure 19. Modified 3D RISS trajectory for 180 seconds GNSS signal outage

Figure 20. INS standalone trajectory for 90 seconds GNSS signal outage

Figure 21. INS standalone trajectory for 180 seconds GNSS signal outage

Table 4 shows the position RMSE of the modified 3D RISS for different GNSS signal outages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Navigation solution</th>
<th>RMSE (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outage 1 (90 seconds)</td>
<td>4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outage 2 (90 seconds)</td>
<td>3.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outage (180 seconds)</td>
<td>9.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Position RMSE for modified 3D RISS with steering angle navigation solution method for different GNSS signal outage

Modified 3D RISS provides a better navigation state estimation for 90 seconds GNSS signal outage with 3.9 meters average position RMSE. However, the position accuracy deteriorates for 180 seconds GNSS signal outage because of the CPD heading change drift at the beginning of the second 90 seconds outage.

3.2.5 Typical INS with different updates Navigation Results

Loosely coupled GNSS/INS integration is implemented for the navigation state estimation. Figures 20 and 21 show the land vehicle trajectory in case of INS standalone solution for 90 seconds and 180 seconds GNSS signal outage respectively.

Table 5 shows the position RMSE for different GNSS signal outages for uncalibrated INS standalone navigation system.

The INS standalone navigation solution deteriorates due to the low-cost IMU large drift because of the errors of both accelerometers and gyroscopes. INS should be aided during GNSS signal outage to limit its drift. Different updates are implemented to aid the INS such as the CPD heading change, OBD-II velocity, z gyro scope angular rate, and NHC. Tables 6 and 7 show position RMSE for different update types for 90s and 180s GNSS signal outages respectively. Figure 22 shows different types of updates with INS trajectories for 90 seconds of GNSS signal outage.

Table 5. Position RMSE for INS standalone navigation solution method for different GNSS signal outage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Navigation solution</th>
<th>RMSE (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outage 1 (90 seconds)</td>
<td>90.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outage 2 (90 seconds)</td>
<td>60.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outage (180 seconds)</td>
<td>352.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Position RMSE for INS/different updates navigation solution method for 90 seconds GNSS signal outage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Navigation solution</th>
<th>RMSE (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INS standalone</td>
<td>90.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INS/OBD-II velocity</td>
<td>5.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INS/NHC</td>
<td>4.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INS/velocity/NHC/CPD heading change</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INS/velocity/NHC/gyro angular rate</td>
<td>3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INS/velocity/NHC/IHR</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Aiding low-cost INS with OBD II velocity, NHC, and CPD heading change provides the best navigation state estimation where the RMSE of the position is around 3.1 and 6 meters for 90s and 180s GNSS signal outage respectively.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Different land vehicle navigation systems were implemented and investigated using CPD sensors, onboard sensors, and vehicle information. The navigation systems are based on different DR, RISS, and typical GNSS/INS integration systems with different updates. These navigation systems could be implemented in a GNSS denied environment such as in urban canyons, foliage areas, tunnels, and underground parking. Typical RISS shows better navigation results than the DR systems for 90s and 180s GNSS signal outage while modified RISS provides a better navigation solution than the typical RISS system. Finally, CPD heading change/OBD-II velocity/NHC integrated aiding system with INS provides the best navigation state estimation for various GNSS signal outages.

Table 7. Position RMSE for navigation solution of INS/different updates for 180 seconds GNSS signal outage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Navigation solution</th>
<th>RMSE (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INS standalone</td>
<td>352.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INS/OBD-II velocity</td>
<td>18.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INS/NHC</td>
<td>11.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INS/velocity/NHC/CPD heading change</td>
<td>5.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INS/velocity/NHC/gyro angular rate</td>
<td>6.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INS/velocity/NHC/IHR</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 22. INS/different updates trajectory for 90 seconds GNSS signal outage


