DETECTION OF THE SPATIAL VARIATIONS OF LOCAL POPULATIONS FROM THE VIEWPOINT OF URBAN STRUCTURE ANALYSIS
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ABSTRACT:
In Japan, population decline is one of the important issues that need to be tackled in socioeconomic fields. We apply an analysis method composed of a spatial autocorrelation analysis to the local population data generated through the 1995 and 2015 national census, and try to detect their spatial dynamics in this study. Through making experimental verification of the distance parameter of the spatial autocorrelation analysis, we newly define 2 indices with respect to the size and area where lower local populations are distributed in urbanized areas. It is shown that the local population dynamics are described by the difference of the 2 indices among 1995 and 2015. The expansion of areas where local population decline occurred seems to be detected by our proposed approach.

1. BACKGROUND
In Japan, population decline is one of the important issues that need to be tackled in socioeconomic fields (National Institute of Population and Social Security Research, 2012, Kutsuzawa, 2016). Population decline in a rapidly aging society results in the creation of many problems such as depopulation in rural areas, expansion of lower population density in urban areas, as well as a boost in spending for the maintenance of public transportation and infrastructure under the pressure of lower revenue. From the viewpoint of urban structure, the Japanese government takes several measures against it based on the concept called "Compact Plus Network". One of the measures implemented is a land use strategy for living space and urban function. In this measure, Residence Attraction Districts and Urban Function Attraction Districts are defined as core areas for maintaining sufficient population density given current and predicted population dynamics (Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism, 2014). However, it is necessary to cope with "Urban spongification" which is the current and future problem with respect to the spatial heterogeneity of local populations around the districts. "Urban spongification" is a phenomenon in which vacant land and vacant houses in urbanized areas randomly occur. This phenomenon is considered as a cause for concern about an impediment to maintaining sufficient population density because of the difficulty of integrated urban redevelopment due to the randomness. High levels of vacancies reinforcing the run-down of the districts could potentially occur under the phenomenon (Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism, 2019). It is therefore desirable to perform monitoring for the population distribution spatially. The spatial scale and variation of the local population distributions, however, have not been analysed. In this study, we apply an analysis method composed of a spatial autocorrelation analysis to the local population data generated through the 1995 and 2015 national census, and try to detect their spatial features of population dynamics.

2. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

2.1 Study Area
The areas of Osaka and Kagawa prefecture were adopted as the study areas. Figure 1 shows the location of these study areas. Osaka prefecture is located in the west part of Japan. There is a second largest city in the Osaka prefecture, while a lot of satellite cities are located around the city. Local populations are distributed in various spatial patterns in the study area because there are completely urbanized areas, urbanized areas, and suburban areas. Kagawa prefecture is located in Shikoku which is an island of southern Japan. There are some core urbanized areas and large suburban areas in the Kagawa prefecture. Both prefectures have wide flat fields, and their size of land are much the same (around 1,900 km²).

Figure 1. Study areas and their locations. The grey areas in the enlarged view of the map show Osaka and Kagawa prefecture.
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2.2 Population Data

The basic unit block population data of the Population Census of Japan in 1995 and 2015 were applied to the spatial analysis of demographic dynamics. The Population Census data is one of the results of the National Census. The basic unit block population data has the highest spatial resolution based on the management of National Census investigators. The total population of Osaka prefecture was 8,797,268 in 1995 and 8,839,469 in 2015, while that of Kagawa prefecture was 1,027,006 in 1995 and 976,263 in 2015. We generated 60m-by-60m mesh data as local population data by counting the basic unit block population data on a mesh-by-mesh basis.

2.3 Methodology

The spatial analysis method of local population distributions we have developed consists of a spatial autocorrelation analysis and an overlay analysis. The G statistics we applied as the spatial autocorrelation analysis is described as

\[
G_i(d) = \frac{\sum_i w_{ij}(d) h_j}{\sum_i h_j}
\]

(1)

where is \(G_i\) is G statistics, \(h_j\) is the local population located in the area, \(w_{ij}\) is a symmetric binary spatial weight matrix with ones for all links defined as being within distance \(d\) of a given \(i\); all other links are coded zero, including the link of a point \(i\) to itself (Getis 1992). If the null hypothesis is that the set of \(h_j\) values within \(d\) of location \(i\) is a random sample, we derive the \(Z\) value described in Eq. (2).

\[
Z_i(d) = \frac{G_i(d) - E[G_i(d)]}{\sqrt{Var[G_i(d)]}}
\]

(2)

Positive or negative spatial autocorrelation is obtained depending on whether the \(Z\) value is positively or negatively greater than specific level of significance (Ord and Getis, 1995). As the result of the statistical tests with a significance level of 10%, the area of interest was divided into the three kinds of results of the statistical test: a positive spatial autocorrelation, no spatial autocorrelation, and a negative spatial autocorrelation. Positive spatial autocorrelation means that a clustering of larger local populations occurs within distance \(d\) of a given \(i\).

2.4 Application of Spatial Autocorrelation Analysis

Kumagai et al. (2011, 2017) examined the fluctuation of the ratio of correlation areas to no-correlation areas with increasing distance \(d\) using NDVI derived from Landsat OLI data. Figure 2 shows the fluctuation of spatial correlation/no spatial correlation areas depending on the distance parameter \(d\) for local populations in Kagawa, 2015. Red and blue areas denote positive and negative correlation areas, respectively, while grey areas are no correlation areas. As \(d\) is increasing, it is indicated that positive and negative spatial correlation areas are increasing. The figure shows that both of correlation areas spread to the no-correlation areas with increasing \(d\).

For analysing the range of distance \(d\), the differences of area percentages between contiguous distances were calculated as \(\Delta a\). Especially, relationship between the positive spatial autocorrelation areas and the distance needs to be clarified for the spatial analysis of “Urban spongification”. Figure 3 shows...
the fluctuation of the differences of spatial correlation/no spatial correlation areas between contiguous distances for local population in Kagawa, 2015 as an example. The convergence of $\Delta a$ appears in Figure 3. We researched the fluctuations and convergences of $\Delta a$ and obtained the maximum of distances $d$ ($d_{\text{max}}$) for 4 kinds of local populations, respectively, in a case where $\Delta a$ shows less than 0.5%. Table 1 indicates $d_{\text{max}}$ derived from the research of the difference of the fluctuations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>$d_{\text{max}}$ (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Osaka</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kagawa</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>570</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Maximum of distances $d$ ($d_{\text{max}}$) derived from the convergence of $\Delta a$.

For detecting the phenomenon of “Urban spongification”, it is required to analyse spatial features in a densely populated area because partially lower population density in an urbanized area potentially could be the sign of deterioration. We then focused attention on the occurrence of positive spatial autocorrelation areas along the distance parameter $d$. Figure 4 reveals the conceptual diagram with respect to the detection of the spatial features of local populations. Based on Figure 2, the area being within distance $d_{\text{max}}$ of point $i$, showing positive autocorrelation, generally covers the positive areas being within distance $d_{\text{max}-1}$ or less of $i$ because $G$ statistics are basically derived from the summation of local populations within $d$ of $i$ (see Figures 4a and 4b). As the distance decreases from $d_{\text{max}}$, a change of statistics (from positive spatial autocorrelation to no spatial autocorrelation) happens in distance $d_n$ at some points (see Figures 4b and 4c). We therefore define the distance $d_n$ as the Ambiguity of Spatial scale in a densely Populated area (ASP) since the null hypothesis that the set of local populations within $d_n$ of location $i$ is a random sample is not rejected even though positive spatial autocorrelations are consecutively shown in distance between $d_{\text{max}}$ and $d_{\text{max}-1}$. In other words, there could be lower population density within distance $d_n$ in spite of the fact that there are significantly larger local populations within $d_{\text{max}}$ of point $i$. The proposed ASP then makes possible to the detection of the sizes of population decline spots as well as their locations. These spatial features would indicate the sign of the phenomenon of “Urban spongification”.

ASP is defined on the assumption that positive spatial autocorrelation is not shown in distance between $d_n$ and $d_{\text{min}}$. For proving the assumption, we calculated the occurrence of no/negative spatial autocorrelation in distance between $d_n$ and $d_{\text{min}}$. Table 2 reveals the relative frequency of occurrence of positive correlation within $d_n$ or less in Kagawa, 2015 as an instance. The interval of $d$ shows 60 m as the calculation was carried out by mesh-by-mesh basis. The relative frequency shows less than 1.3%. We then ignored these cases because they seemed to be based on the uncertainty, i.e. georeferencing error of data, information losses of vector/raster conversion, and other issues of geographical data generations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$d$ (m)</th>
<th>Relative frequency of occurrence of positive correlation within $d_n$ or less</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>1.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>1.22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>0.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>0.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td>0.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>0.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Relative frequency of the occurrence of positive correlation within $d_n$ or less in Kagawa, 2015.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Results of Spatial Analysis

Figure 5 shows the results of the spatial analysis we have developed. Coloured areas in Figure 5 mean densely populated areas because positive spatial autocorrelations are shown within distance $d_{\text{max}}$ in the areas. Gradations in colour from yellow to red denote the fluctuation of ASP. ASP, means that larger local populations exist from the narrowest range to the widest range ($d_{\text{max}}$), while red hue of areas indicates large ASP. It is shown...
that large ASPs are distributed principally around the edges of the coloured areas. There are also small red parts in the middle of the study areas: Osaka in 1995, 2015, and Kagawa 2015.

3.2 Relationship between ASP and Urban Structure

ASP obtained from spatial statistics shows the spatial feature value of local population distributions. Relationship between ASP and urban structure then should be clarified for the analysis of “Urban spongification”. We examined the relationship from two viewpoints: local population density and land use.

3.2.1 Local Population Density: ASP suggests the size of area where larger local populations do not exist. Relationship between ASP and population density, however, is not still illuminated. We therefore defined population density within ASP as the Local Population density within ASP (LPA), and analysed the variation of LPA according to ASP. Figure 6 reveals the relative frequency distributions of LPA for individual ASPs in Osaka, 2015 as an example. In ASPs, the pattern of the histogram shows almost symmetric. According to the increase of ASP, the histograms gradually become skewed right. This tendency was also confirmed in other cases: Osaka 1995, Kagawa 1995 and 2015. It appears that ASP could contribute to the detection of lower population density districts within a densely populated area. The larger ASP also seems to indicate the locations of much lower population density districts. It is thus obvious that proposed ASP would be able to provide the analysis of “Urban spongification” with significant information regarding the spatial scale as well as location of lower populated districts.

Figure 5. Results of the spatial analysis we have developed: distributions of ASP.
3.2.2 Comparison of ASP and Land Use: Figure 7 reveals zoomed-in images of ASP of Figure 5 and aerial photographs for the comparison of the results of the spatial analysis and current land use. We can recognise the large ASP (= 300 m) is obtained where there are large agricultural fields and a few buildings and houses in the dotted circle with radius ASP in Figure 7a. There are also wide industrial areas with larger ASPs in the lower right of Figure 7a. ASP seems to depend on the current status of land use around a centre pixel. On the other hand, in Figure 7b, it is difficult to interpret the feature of land use around the dotted circle with radius ASP though there are buildings, houses, and small patches of agricultural fields and parking lots in the whole area of Figure 7b. Around the centre pixel, various ASPs are generated in this site. ASP also seems to include the spatial feature of local population distributions, which does not appear on a land use status.

3.3 Discussion

ASP and LPA have the potential to contribute to the detection of lower population density spots in urbanized areas. Based on the tendency in Figure 6, it would be appropriate to apply ASP and LPS simultaneously to the spatial analysis of local population distributions. For the application to the monitoring of local population distributions, the detection of the fluctuations of the spatial feature needs to be discussed.

3.3.1 Spatial Variation between 2 Periods: As the first step of the discussion, we analysed the difference of both ASP and LPA between 2 periods: 1995 and 2015. Figure 8 shows the concept of spatial variation based on the difference between 2 periods. The variation of LPA is shown in the horizontal axis, while that of ASP is displayed in the vertical axis. Figure 8 also indicates the conceptual drawings of spatial variation patterns in some quadrants. The spatial variation pattern in the lower left quadrant shows the expansion of ASP, the area of lower population density is enlarged. This therefore means the sign of the expansion of “Urban spongification”. In the upper right quadrant, the spatial pattern is symmetric with respect to the point of intersection of the 2 axes: ASP is decreasing as well as LPA is increasing. It is theoretically impossible to describe the spatial patterns in the quadrants of upper left and lower right at this stage. We used these 4 quadrants to examine the applicability of this simple difference approach to the spatial features.

3.3.2 Application of the Difference Approach: We applied the difference approach to ASP and LPA between 1995 and 2015, in Osaka and Kagawa, respectively. Figure 9 reveals the bubble charts consisting of the number of points and the variations of LPA and ASP. The size of bubble shows the number of points fell into each interval: ASP of 60 m and LPA of 2500 people/km². In Figure 9a, there are a lot of frequency in the upper left quadrant. A leading cause of this result seems to be the difference of dmax between 1995 and 2015 in Kagawa. The spatial pattern of ASP image in 1995 differs considerably from that of ASP image in 2015 (see Figure 5a). It does not seem to be appropriate to apply the simple difference approach in a case where the fluctuation range of spatial pattern is large. Advanced difference approach including the standardization of ASP related to LPA would expect to be developed. In Figure 9b, larger frequency data are almost distributed in the quadrants of upper right and lower left and on the horizontal axis because the rate of change of total population is less than 0.5% in Osaka.
3.3.3 Visualization of Spatial Variation: We mapped the spatial variations between 1995 and 2015 in Osaka based on the division of Figures 8 and 9b. Figure 10 indicates the spatial variation map of local population distributions between 1995 and 2015 in Osaka. The status of local spatial variations is descibed in colours gradated by the transformation of the 2 variations into hue and saturation, as in the legend of Figure 10. In Figure 10, isabella areas (LPA variation of -200 to -7500 people/km² and ASP variation of 0 m) dominates, while blue hot spots (LPA variation of more than +15000 people/km² and ASP variation of 0 m) are scattered around the centre of the study area. We can see several light green areas (LPA variation of less than -7500 people/km² and ASP variation of more than +240 m) generated in the centre and edge of the coloured area.

3.3.4 Comparison of the Spatial Variations and the Fluctuations of Local Population Distributions: Figures 11, 12, 13, and 14 show the partial images of sites C, D, E, and F of Figure 10, respectively, along with aerial photographs and local population distributions. Dashed lines denote the circles with radius ASP in 1995, while dotted lines means the circles with radius ASP in 2015. In the dark purple area in site C on the spatial variation map, it is confirmed that there are high-rise apartments around the centre point in Figure 11b. At the blue hot spots in Figure 10, we could also see high-rise condominium is often located. Likewise, we could see the areas coloured yellow in Figure 10 were almost in course of redevelopment. Partial increase/decrease of local populations related to a fixed-area development/redevelopment seems to be classified around the horizontal axis of Figure 8 and the legend of Figure 10. About a purple area in site D on the spatial variation map, corresponding to upper right quadrant of Figure
Figure 10. Spatial variation map of local populations among 1995 and 2015 in Osaka.

As problems remaining for future research, it should be apparent how to analyse spatial features of local population dynamics in a case where the fluctuation range of spatial pattern is large. In general, population decline in a provincial area occurs sharply. More research is needed in the analysis of spatial feature fluctuations. It is also required to clarify what ASP represents with respect to urban structure. ASP derived from statistical test for local populations is not necessarily related to the factors of urban structure. Procedures for the urban structure analysis, which are composed of spatial analysis of land use, urban facilities and development/redevelopment project as well as local population dynamics, should be discussed.
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Figure 11. Partial image of site C in Figure 10 along with aerial photograph and local population distributions. Dashed circle denotes ASP (= 210 m) in 1995. In 2015, circle does not appear (ASP0).

Figure 12. Partial image of site D in Figure 10 along with aerial photograph and local population distributions. Dashed circle denotes ASP (= 450 m) in 1995. In 2015, circle does not appear (ASP0).

Figure 13. Partial image of site E in Figure 10 along with aerial photograph and local population distributions. Dashed circle denotes ASP = 210 m in 1995, while dotted circle means ASP = 450 m in 2015.

Figure 14. Partial image of site F in Figure 10 along with aerial photograph and local population distributions. Dashed circle denotes ASP = 210 m in 1995, while dotted circle means ASP = 570 m in 2015.

